

## Identifying and Challenging Thinking Errors

Error Type	Shorthand	Examples	Challenges
<p><b>Dichotomous reasoning</b> or <b>All or nothing thinking</b> . Situations and people are judged in terms of <i>either..or</i>. Everything has to fit in only one of two <i>boxes</i>.</p>	<p><b>Two boxes</b></p>	<p><i>People are..good or bad ;beautiful or ugly ; trustworthy or untrustworthy</i> <i>Things I do are...successful or a failure ; perfect or disastrous ; right or wrong</i></p>	<p>Think of putting a third box in between the two extremes. Try to see things arranged in a line – person A is more trustworthy than Person B, who is more trustworthy than Person C....</p>
<p><b>Arbitrary inference</b> or <b>Jumping to conclusions</b>. You judge a situation on the basis of random bits of evidence. This includes <i>mind-reading</i> (knowing what other people are thinking) and <i>fortune-telling</i> (being able to predict the future). It is like guess work.</p>	<p><b>Guess work</b></p>	<p>He crossed the road to avoid me.</p> <p>She thinks I look ridiculous.</p>	<p>Reality checking – how do you know he crossed the road to avoid you ? What other explanations are there ?</p> <p>How do you know what she is thinking ? Can you read all her thoughts ?</p>
<p><b>Magnification and minimisation</b> or the <b>Binocular trick</b>. Negative bits loom large and positive bits seem lost in the distance.</p> <p><i>Catastrophising</i> is when you exaggerate the significance of the negative aspects, either give them more importance than is realistic or predict negative outcomes that are extreme.</p>	<p><b>Mini-max</b></p>	<p>The meal was terrible because the soup had too much salt in it.</p> <p>It was a complete disaster, they will never talk to me again</p>	<p>Try to get a better perspective. Ok, the soup was a bit salty for some people, but the main course was delicious and everyone ate their dessert.</p> <p>Sometimes it is a question of finding the right words. If the milk saucepan boiling over is a « disaster », how would you describe the chimney falling down ?</p> <p>How likely is it that your all your friends will turn against you if your cooking is not perfect ?</p>
<p><b>Personalisation</b>. There are two ways of understanding this. There is a tendency to take the blame for things that you cannot be responsible for, and /or the tendency to believe that everything is directed at you.</p>	<p><b>Me, my, I</b></p>	<p>It is my fault that my friends did not enjoy the film we went to see.</p>	<p>How were you responsible ? You did not act in the film, or write the screenplay or direct it. They chose to see it with you.</p>

<b>Discounting the positive or Reverse alchemy.</b> Something positive is turned into a negative.	<b>Gold to lead</b>	He only said something nice because he wants me to do him a favour.  She would not be so kind to me if she knew what I was really like.	The first step is to get into the habit of recognising something as positive. Then challenge the evidence you use to make it a negative. Maybe she does not know you completely, but she likes what you did.
<b>Over-generalisation.</b> A single example or situation is seen as the norm. Characterised by the use of absolutes such as <i>always, never, nobody, evrybody.</i>	<b>Absolutely</b>	Nobody likes me  The traffic lights are always red when I'm in a hurry	Look for the exceptions of the rule. Well, my friend John likes me.  There was at least one time when the lights were green when you were in a hurry.
<b>Global judgements or Awfulising or Labelling.</b> You label or judge a <i>specific</i> situation or person in an extreme way.	<b>Bad labels</b>	Steve our builder is completely incompetent	Perspective – did he do anything right ? <i>Note</i> - if you said “ all builders are incompetent “, this would be an <i>over-generalisation.</i>
<b>Moral imperatives.</b> We accept without questioning all the <i>musts, shoulds and oughts</i> that underlie daily life.	<b>Do's &amp; Don'ts</b>	I must stay in control.  You should do as I say	Start to question the origin and validity of these rules for living. Do they come from childhood ? Do they apply all the time to everyone in all situations ? Look for exceptions.
<b>Emotional reasoning.</b> Here we mistake our feelings for facts.	<b>Heart over head</b>	I know something dreadful will happen because I feel so anxious	Remember the CBT model – thoughts give rise to feelings. You feel anxious because you think something dreadful will happen. Thoughts are <i>not</i> facts. My thinking the moon is made of cheese does not make it so.
<b>Selective abstraction.</b> A person or situation is judged on the basis of a small negative detail without reference to the whole.	<b>Small detail</b>	I decorated the room but that bit in the corner has gone wrong.	Is this really the most important part of the job ? Are you looking at the whole picture ?

## Further Guidance

- Sometimes a thought will fit into more than one of the categories above. This is fine. Use the thought-challenging strategy that seems to work best. It is often a case of trial and error. See below.
- Seven Golden Questions :
  - What is the evidence that proves my belief is true ?
  - Is there any evidence to suggest the belief is not true ? (i.e., another way of looking at the situation ?)
  - What are the consequences for me of believing it is true ? (e.g., in terms of how it makes me feel and behave)
  - What if it really is true. Why would that be so bad ?
  - How could I cope with the situation if it really is true ?
  - Is there anything else I can change in my thinking or behaviour to help me feel and cope better ?

## Common Confusions

### 1. Global judgement or Over-generalisation ?

A global judgement or negative label is typically applied to a specific person or event – your judgement is likely to be powerful and negative because of focusing on a particular aspect to the exclusion of all else. It may be the result of other thinking errors – e.g. selective abstraction leading to a global judgment.

Over-generalisation is deriving a rule to describe all types of situations or people on the basis of limited experience – all builders are incompetent, all seaside resorts are awful.

### 2. Selective abstraction or Maximisation ?

Selective abstraction is about focusing on a small negative detail and not seeing the whole picture.

Maximisation is the same processing bias, but it takes it a stage further – the consequences of the negative aspects are seen as catastrophic.

**Mnemonic** – those of you so inclined may have seen that by taking the initial letter of each of the main thinking errors listed in the above table we get D A M P D O G M E S s. The last “s” is spare! Helpful for exams.